arXiv:1401.4649v1 [math.LO] 19 Jan 2014

ON REAPING NUMBER HAVING COUNTABLE COFINALITY

SAHARON SHELAH

Abstract. We prove that if the bounding number ($\mathfrak{d}$) is bigger than the reaping number ($\mathfrak{r}$), then the latter one has uncountable cofinality.

Date: December 9, 2013 .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 03E17; Secondary:

Key words and phrases. set theory, set theory of the reals, cardinal invariants of the continuum.

The author thanks Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing. First typed July 18, 2013. Paper E76.

§ 0. Introduction

Recall

Definition 0.1. Let gr the reaping number be the minimal cardinality of a set $\mathscr{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^{\aleph_0}$ such that for no set $X \subseteq N$ do we have $A \in \mathscr{A} \Rightarrow |A \cap X| = \aleph_0 = |A \backslash X|$.

§ 1. The proof

Claim 1.1. *If* $\mathfrak{d} > \mathfrak{r}$ *then* $\mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{r}) > \aleph_0$.

Proof. Toward contradiction assume $\lambda = \mathfrak{r} = \sum\limits_n \lambda_n$ where $\bigwedge\limits_n \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1}$ (and $\mathfrak{d} > \lambda$). Let $\mathscr{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \subseteq [\omega]^{\aleph_0}$ witness $\mathfrak{r} \not\leq \lambda$, i.e. $\mathscr{A}$ is a splitting family. Without loss of generality $\alpha < \lambda \Rightarrow A_{2\alpha+1} = \mathbb{N} \backslash A_{2\alpha}$; let $\mathscr{A}_n = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda_n\}$. Now we choose $\bar{A}^*_n = \langle A^*_\eta : \eta \in {}^n 2\rangle$ by induction on n such that

- $(*)_1$ (a) $\bar{A}^*_n$ is a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ to infinite sets so $A_{<>} = \mathbb{N}$
  - (b) if $n = m+1$ and $\eta \in {}^n 2$ then $A^*_\eta \subseteq A^*_{\eta\restriction m}$
  - (c) if $n = m+1, \eta \in {}^m 2$ and $\mathscr{U}_\eta = \{\alpha < \lambda : A_\alpha \cap A^*_\eta$ infinite$\}$ then $A^*_{\eta^\frown<1>}$ (equivalently $A^*_{\eta^\frown<0>}$) divide $A_\alpha \cap A^*_\eta$ to two infinite sets when $\alpha \in \lambda_n \cap \mathscr{U}_\eta$.

This is possible as $\lambda_n < \mathfrak{r}$. Having chosen $\bar{A}^*_n$ for every n, for every $\eta \in {}^\omega 2$ and increasing $f : \omega \to \omega$ we define $B_{\eta,f} = \cup\{A^*_{\eta\restriction n} \cap [0, f(n)) : n < \omega\}$.

Now

- $(*)_2$ if $\alpha < \lambda_n$ then there are $\eta_0, \eta_1 \in {}^n 2$ such that
  $\eta_1 \trianglelefteq \nu \in {}^{\omega>}2 \Rightarrow |A^*_\nu \cap A_{2\alpha}| = \aleph_0$
  $\eta_0 \trianglelefteq \nu \in {}^{\omega>}2 \Rightarrow |A^*_\nu \backslash A_{2\alpha}| = \aleph_0$, equivalently $|A^*_\nu \cap A_{2\alpha+1}| = \aleph_0$.

[Why? As $\bar{A}^*_n$ is a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ for some $\eta_0, \eta_1 \in {}^n 2$ we have $|A^*_{\eta_0} \cap A_{2\alpha}| = \aleph_0 = |A^*_{\eta_1} \cap A_{2\alpha+1}|$ and then use the inductive choice of $\bar{A}^*_{n+1}, \bar{A}^*_{n+2}$.... Note that really we have the partition of ${}^k 2$ to three $\langle v_{\alpha,k,\iota} : \iota < 3\rangle$ where $v_{\alpha,k,0} = \{\eta \in {}^k 2 : A^*_\eta \cap A_\alpha$ is finite$\}$, $v_{\alpha,k,1} = \{\eta \in {}^k 2 : A^*_\eta \backslash A_\alpha$ is finite$\}$; and note that $\eta \in {}^n 2 \wedge n \leq k \wedge \eta \trianglelefteq \nu \in {}^k 2 \wedge \iota < 3 \Rightarrow (\nu \in v_{\alpha,k,\iota} \Rightarrow \eta \in v_{\alpha,n,\iota})$.]

- $(*)_3$ if $\alpha < \lambda_n$ and $\eta \in {}^\omega 2, |A_\alpha \cap A^*_{\eta\restriction n}| = \aleph_0$ then for some $g = g_{\eta,\alpha} \in {}^\omega\omega$ we have: if $f \in {}^\omega\omega$ and $f \not\leq^* g$ then $|A_\alpha \cap B_{\eta,f}| = \aleph_0$.

[Why? Think, really we can make f depend just on A_α.]

- $(*)_4$ if $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2 \in {}^\omega 2$ an $f_1, f_2 \in {}^\omega\omega$ then $B_{\eta_1,f_1} \cap B_{\eta_2,f_2}$ is finite.

[Why? Think.]

- $(*)_5$ choose a sequence $\langle \eta_i : i < \omega\rangle$ of pairwise distinct members of ${}^\omega 2$ which is dense, moreover $(\forall \nu \in {}^{\omega>}2)(\exists i)(\nu \lhd \eta_{2i} \wedge \nu \lhd \eta_{2i+1})$
- $(*)_6$ for each i we can choose $f_i : \omega \to \omega$ such that if $\alpha < \lambda_n$ and $A^*_{\eta_i\restriction n} \cap A_\alpha$ is infinite then $B_{\eta_i,f_i} \cap A_\alpha$ is infinite.

[Why? By $\mathfrak{d} > \mathfrak{r} = \lambda > \lambda_n$ recalling the definition of $\mathfrak{d}$ and by $(*)_3$.]

Now let

$$B_i = B_{\eta_i,f_i} \backslash \cup\{B_{\eta_j,f_j} : j < i\}$$

$$B^*_0 = \bigcup_i B_{2i}$$

$$B^*_1 = \bigcup_i B_{2i+1}$$

B^*_0, B^*_1 are disjoint infinite $\subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and satisfies $\iota < 2 \wedge \alpha < \lambda \Rightarrow B^*_\iota \cap A_\alpha$ is infinite.

Why? Let $\alpha < \lambda$ so for some $n, \alpha < \lambda_n$ hence by $(*)_2$ for some $\rho_0 \neq \rho_1 \in {}^n2$ we have

$\odot_1$ $\rho_0 \lhd \nu \in {}^{\omega>}2 \Rightarrow A^*_\nu \cap A_{2\alpha}$ is infinite

$\odot_2$ $\rho_1 \lhd \nu \in {}^{\omega>}2 \Rightarrow A^*_\nu \cap A_{2\alpha+1}$ is infinite.

By $(*)_5$ there are i_0, i_1 such that $i_\ell = \ell \mod 2$ and $\rho_\ell \lhd \eta_{i_\ell}$ for $\ell = 0, 1$. Also the set $B_{\eta_{i_0}, f_{i_0}}$ satisfies $B_{\eta_{i_0}, f_{i_0}} \cap A_{2\alpha}$ is infinite, but $B_{\eta_{i_0}, f_{i_0}} \cap \bigcup\limits_{j<i_0} B_{\eta_j, f_j}$ is finite hence $B_{i_0} \cap A_{2\alpha}$ is infinite but i_0 is even hence $B^*_0 \cap A_{2\alpha}$ is infinite.

Simiarly using i_1 which is odd $B^*_1 \cap A_{2\alpha+1} = B^*_1 \backslash A_{2\alpha}$ is infinite. $\square_{1.1}$

Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Edmond J. Safra Campus, Givat Ram, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel, and, Department of Mathematics, Hill Center - Busch Campus, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 USA

E-mail address: shelah@math.huji.ac.il

URL: http://shelah.logic.at